

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
OS19.2	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	3l.1 Introduction ii Flooding	Support	Decision Sought Retain 3l.1ii Introduction	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS24.8	Trustpower Limited	3l.1 Introduction ii Flooding	Support	OP 19.2 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.3	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.1 ii	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.1ii	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.4	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Objective 3l.2.2 Explanation	Support	Decision Sought Retain 3l.2.2, Explanation.	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.7	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Text before Objective 3l.2.3	Support	Decision Sought Retain text before Objective 3l.2.3.	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.36	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Objective Guidance	Support	Decision Sought Retain text before Objective 3l.2.1	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS17.7	Trustpower Ltd	Objective 3l.2.3	Support	Decision Sought Retain Objective 3l.2.3 as notified.	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.8	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Objective 3l.2.3	Support	Decision Sought Retain Objective 3l.2.3	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS24.1	Trustpower Limited	Objective 3l.2.3	Support	OP 19.8 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS17.11	Trustpower Ltd	Objective 3l.2.4	Support	Decision Sought Retain Objective 3l.2.4 as notified.	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.19	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Objective 3l.2.4	Support	Decision Sought Retain Objective 3l.2.4	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS24.2	Trustpower Limited	Objective 3l.2.4	Support	OP 19.19 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS18.12	Mercury	Policy 3l.2.3 i	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.3(i) in the same or similar form.	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.9	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.3 i	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.3(i)	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.11	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.3 iii	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.3 iii	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS24.9	Trustpower Limited	Policy 3l.2.3 iii	Support	OP 19.11 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.12	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.3 iv	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.3 iv	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS24.10	Trustpower Limited	Policy 3l.2.3 iv	Support	OP 19.12 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.13	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.3 v	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.3 v	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS24.11	Trustpower Limited	Policy 3l.2.3 v	Support	OP 19.13 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.14	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.3 vi	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.3 vi	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.15	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.3 vii	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.3 vii	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.16	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.3 viii	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.3 viii	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.17	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.3 ix	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.3ix	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.18	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.3 x	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.3 x	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.21	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.4 ii	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.4 ii	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.22	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.4 iii	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.4 iii	01 Plan change & risk based approach

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
OS19.23	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.4 iv	Support	Decision Sought Retain 3l.2.4 iv	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.24	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.4 v	Support	Decision Sought Retain policy 3l.2.4 v	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.5	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Method iii	Support	Decision Sought Retain 3l.3 Method iii	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.6	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Method xv	Support	Decision Sought Retain Method xv.	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS10.1	Waikato Regional Council	General	Support	Decision Sought Accept PPC34 subject to the amendments outlined in the submission	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS15.7	Ngati Kurauia	General	Support	Decision Sought Support Council's general intention to review and propose a plan change to and create new objectives and options for responding to flood hazards.	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS16.2	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Do not use a district plan change to address flood risk	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS25.41	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 16.2 Decision Sought Disallow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS16.14	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought The plan change needs to recognise that it affects no green field residential environment zoned property on the left bank of the Tongariro River downstream of the SH1 Tongariro River Bridge.	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS17.5	Trustpower Ltd	General	Support	Decision Sought Retain the proposed risk based approach to managing flood hazard that is taken in PC34	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS25.51	Mercury	General	Support	OP 17.5 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS18.1	Mercury	General	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought To retain the objectives, policies, rules and definitions of the Proposed Plan Change, except where otherwise requested by this submission. Any further and consequential amendments to achieve the intent of this submission.	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS24.14	Trustpower Limited	General	Support	OP 18.1 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS24.15	Trustpower Limited	General	Support	OP 18.1 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.33	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	General	Support	Decision Sought Retain the intent to identify flood hazards and manage development to mitigate risks to people and property in PC34	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS25.54	Mercury	General	Support	OP 19.33 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.34	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	General	Support	Decision Sought Retain the use of up-to-date information to more accurately delineate flood hazard areas and the Council's commitment to continue to refine the areas in PC34.	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS25.55	Mercury	General	Support	OP 19.34 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS19.35	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	General	Support	Decision Sought Retain the use of a risk based approach to managing flood hazards in PC34	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS25.56	Mercury	General	Support	OP 19.35 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS21.3	Lakes and Waterways Action Group	General	Support	Decision Sought Retain provisions planning for the protection of vulnerable people and places within flood-prone areas	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS25.65	Mercury	General	Support	OP 21.3 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS21.5	Lakes and Waterways Action Group	General	Support	Decision Sought Retain the provisions for exercising greater control over the design of development in areas of medium and low flood hazard	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS25.66	Mercury	General	Support	OP 21.5 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach
OS21.6	Lakes and Waterways Action Group	General	Support	Decision Sought Retain the specific policy approach to discourage development in high flood hazard areas	01 Plan change & risk based approach
FS25.67	Mercury	General	Support	OP 21.6 Decision Sought Allow	01 Plan change & risk based approach

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
OS15.1	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought That the Tokaanu Stream and all other waterways entering the Tokaanu Delta and Tokaanu Stream be included in this assessment.	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
OS15.10	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Further discussion with Council on this matter, ("To remove defended areas that were affected by flooding from an alternative source"), with Ngati Kurauia and the affected owners to determine the 'real' impact and implications of this decision.	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
FS25.29	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.10 Decision Sought Disallow	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
OS15.11	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought We request direct dialogue with Council before the decision "To remove non-contiguous flood areas from the mapping outputs for the Lake" is finalised.	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
FS25.30	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.11 Decision Sought Disallow	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
OS15.14	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Urgent dialogue with Council on this matter ("To assume that stop banks won't be changed over time"). We ask that Council to ascertain this position with WRC's assistance and engage with Ngati Tuwharetoa and Ngati Kurauia land owners directly where their land is utilized or affected by the erection of stop banks.	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
OS15.23	Ngati Kurauia	General	Oppose	Decision Sought Request that, in the first instance, Council evaluate the situation in the Tokaanu Basin and meet with relevant Hapu to resolve this matter of removing flooding under 10 cm.	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
FS25.35	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.23 Decision Sought Disallow	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
OS20.4	Friends of Lake Taupo	General	Oppose	Decision Sought Technical deficiencies need to be addressed. The proposed river flood levels need to be reconsidered based on an appropriate combined flood occurrence and acceptable lake levels for Lake Taupo not the combination of 100 year floods used by Opus.	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
FS25.60	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 20.4 Decision Sought Disallow	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
OS20.5	Friends of Lake Taupo	General	Oppose	Decision Sought Technical Deficiencies need to be addressed The seiche provisions and climate change flood level changes need to be removed from any set flood level as seiche and flooding are independent events and it is statistically incorrect to combine 100 year flood provisions with 100 year seiche forecasts.	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
FS25.61	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 20.5 Decision Sought Disallow	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
OS16.5	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought The plan change and change to planning conditions imposed on properties are unnecessary.	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
FS25.42	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 16.5 Decision Sought Disallow	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
OS16.10	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Oppose	Decision Sought The District Plan change should not apply the 1% AEP to building areas.	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
FS25.47	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 16.10 Decision Sought Disallow	02.1 Methodology for flood assessment
OS16.7	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Including climate change in flood estimates is premature at this stage. Remove climate change from flood	02.2 Climate change
FS25.45	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 16.7 Decision Sought Disallow	02.2 Climate change
OS16.11	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Reassess climate change assumptions to take into account the changes to catchment use and vegetation growth that will result from warmer temperatures and increased more frequent rainfall as more vegetation will reduce runoff and decrease the flood intensity levels.	02.2 Climate change
FS25.46	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 16.11 Decision Sought Disallow	02.2 Climate change
OS20.1	Friends of Lake Taupo	General	Oppose	Decision Sought Object to any change in the Taupo District Plan's flood levels for Lake Taupo.	02.2 Climate change
FS25.57	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 20.1 Decision Sought Disallow	02.2 Climate change
OS20.6	Friends of Lake Taupo	General	Oppose	Decision Sought The provision for the Plan Change to provide increased flood level to provide for climate change needs to be removed. An increase of 2.5% for both time periods is supported by the evidence presented by Opus. The reality is that flood levels in Taupo are controlled by MRP/Mercury.	02.2 Climate change
FS25.62	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 20.6 Decision Sought Disallow	02.2 Climate change

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
OS20.2	Friends of Lake Taupo	General	Oppose	Decision Sought Formally request that the Waikato Regional Council use the review mechanism for the MRP/Mercury Consent to restore the lower "step" lake level for any period identified as at risk from significant flood events or otherwise provide consent change to deliver the flood levels set in the Consent. Alternatively TDC can communicate the findings of the Opus technical studies to MRP/Mercury and Waikato Regional Council and request that, based on the findings of the Opus study, MRP/Mercury advise if there is any change necessary to their set Consent Lake Level/Occurrence condition, or if MRP/Mercury will continue to manage the lake levels to their consented levels.	02.3 Lake level
FS25.58	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 20.2 Decision Sought Disallow	02.3 Lake level
OS20.3	Friends of Lake Taupo	General	Oppose	Decision Sought If any change to flood levels is to be considered review of flood levels for Lake Taupo using a whole catchment approach, including the Mercury and Genesis Energy Consents and the use of the Taupo Control Gates by Environment Waikato as flood manager. This would provide for proper consideration of the allocation to this risk to the various affected parties, MRP/Mercury as Consent holder and Operator and TDC, Iwi, lake and riverside landowners and other interested parties.	02.3 Lake level
FS25.59	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 20.3 Decision Sought Disallow	02.3 Lake level
OS1.1	Fraser, Lindsay	Flood Hazard Map	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Remove the flood hazard from within the boundaries of 3 Kinloch Esplanade.	03 Individual properties
OS3.1	Kemp, Richard On Behalf Of Kemp Family Trust	Flood Hazard Map	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend the yellow flood hazard area on the lower terrace on 139 Taupahi Road, Turangi, as identified on the map attached to the submission, so it more accurately shows the division between the upper and lower terraces. Remove all of the area west of the dotted line (the hatched area) from the flood hazard area.	03 Individual properties
OS5.1	Resident	Flood Hazard Map	Oppose	Decision Sought Remove the flood hazard designation from 203 Puanga Street, Tokaanu.	03 Individual properties
FS25.4	Mercury	Flood Hazard Map	Oppose	OP 5.1 Decision Sought Disallow	03 Individual properties
OS6.1	Haupt, Tony	Flood Hazard Map	Oppose	Decision Sought Remove the flood hazard from 6 Kinloch Esplanade, Kinloch. Lower lake level, maximum height	03 Individual properties
OS8.1	Abercrombie, Gilbert	Flood Hazard Map	Oppose	Decision Sought That Plan Change 34 is not implemented and the current flood hazard areas in the Taupo District remain unchanged.	03 Individual properties
FS25.5	Mercury	Flood Hazard Map	Oppose	OP 8.1 Decision Sought Disallow	03 Individual properties
OS9.2	Hapeta, Leonie	Flood Hazard Map	Not Stated	Decision Sought We do not want to limit our options for the future if we want to develop the property in future years.	03 Individual properties
OS11.1	Marbeck, Diana	Flood Hazard Map	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Remove high flood hazard from 229 Taupahi Road, Turangi. Prepared to level ground to achieve this if necessary.	03 Individual properties
OS12.1	Clark, Erin	Flood Hazard Map	Oppose	Decision Sought That there will be no flood risk attributed to property owner in this area (105 Humu Street, Tokaanu).	03 Individual properties
FS25.19	Mercury	Flood Hazard Map	Oppose	OP 12.1 Decision Sought Disallow	03 Individual properties
OS13.1	Grants Motels Ltd	Flood Hazard Map	Oppose	Decision Sought Council needs to be careful to NOT strip away existing owners property development rights. (24 Te Arahori Street, Turangi)	03 Individual properties
FS25.20	Mercury	Flood Hazard Map	Oppose	OP 13.1 Decision Sought Disallow	03 Individual properties
OS16.13	Campbell, John & Bev	Flood Hazard Map	Not Stated	Decision Sought Remove flood hazard zoning from our property (168 Te Rangitautahanga Road, Turangi)	03 Individual properties
OS17.3	Trustpower Ltd	Flood Hazard Map	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend the PC34 planning maps so that the Hinemaiaia B Power Station tailrace is not covered by any flood risk area overlay.	03 Individual properties
OS4.1	Baker, Ross	Flood Hazard Map	Oppose	Decision Sought Seek another independent assessment to remove the flood hazard from 2 Piri Road, Turangi or we will be forced to resort to legal action to remove the flood hazard notification.	03 Individual properties
OS15.21	Ngati Kurauia	Policy 31.2.1 ii	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amendment to 31.2.1. Ngati Kurauia seeks an assurance from the Council that it will meet urgently with Ngati Kurauia representatives to discuss the issues and to agree a schedule of engagements for their resolution.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.40	Mercury	Policy 31.2.1 ii	Oppose	OP 15.21 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.20	Ngati Kurauia	Objective 31.2.2 Explanation	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amendment to 31.2.2 Explanation. Ngati Kurauia seeks an assurance from the Council that it will meet urgently with Ngati Kurauia representatives to discuss the issues and to agree a schedule of engagements for their resolution.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.39	Mercury	Objective 31.2.2 Explanation	Oppose	OP 15.20 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.18	Ngati Kurauia	Objective 31.2.3	Oppose	Decision Sought Oppose Objective 31.2.3. Ngati Kurauia seeks an assurance from the Council that it will meet urgently with Ngati Kurauia representatives to discuss the issues and to agree a schedule of engagements for their resolution.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.37	Mercury	Objective 31.2.3	Oppose	OP 15.18 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
OS15.19	Ngati Kurauia	Objective 31.2.4	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amendment to objective 31.2.4. Ngati Kurauia seeks an assurance from the Council that it will meet urgently with Ngati Kurauia representatives to discuss the issues and to agree a schedule of engagements for their resolution.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.38	Mercury	Objective 31.2.4	Oppose	OP 15.19 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.2	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought That Council assure and demonstrate to us that such an evaluation have particular regard for the purposes of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) that pertain to Ngati Kurauia interests and circumstances. In particular we seek further evaluations in respect of the following provisions of the RMA are recognised and provided for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Section 6(e), "the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga", •Section 6(f) "the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development"; •Section 6(g) "the protection of protected customary rights" •Section 7(a) which requires that 'kaitiakitanga' be exercised •Section 8 which requires that the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi are taken into account. 	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.22	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.2 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.3	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Ensure that the considerations and issues set out in paragraphs 2-7 of this submission are taken into consideration under any evaluation under section 6(h) of the RMA.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.23	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.3 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.4	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought That Council adopt a collaborative process with Ngati Kurauia hapu, whanau and land owners to develop objectives and policies for risk avoidance, mitigation and to identify tolerable levels of hazard risk.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.24	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.4 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.5	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought That Council take an active consultation and collaboration role that includes the sharing of all information and more active involvement in the evaluation and impact assessment and innovations that may be relate to the issues relevant to Ngati Kurauia stakeholders.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.25	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.5 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.6	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Ensure that the objectives and the evaluation of the options in the Plan Change 34 have adequate regard to the goals and context of the Ngati Tuwharetoa Iwi Management Plan.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.26	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.6 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.8	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought We request Council to: a) Accurately identify and map the known flood risks in conjunction with values of Ngati Kurauia that are relevant to and consistent with Section 6 (e), (f) and (g) of the Act; b) Evaluate and report on the anticipated future effects from climate change and tectonic subsidence. A risk based approach is incorporated into the District Plan to reflect Part II of the Act; c) Undertake a wider analysis of resource management issues and risks relating to severe flooding affecting Ngati Kurauia stakeholders, properties, taonga and their economic, social and cultural well-being. d) Extend the flood risk and hazard strategy to account for a wider range of matters than Section 6 (h) of the Act. We request that Council extend the Plan Change to include a significantly wider range of matters pertaining to Part II of the Act as noted above as all these matters are actually or potentially and significantly impacted upon in the event of a serious flood hazard.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.27	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.8 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.9	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought We request that Council comprehensively evaluates the benefits and costs of environmental, economic, social and cultural effects as they relate specifically to Ngati Kurauia hapu, whanau and land owner values.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.28	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.9 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.13	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought To receive clarity on what "to have a two-step engagement process" really means. Ngati Kurauia, therefore, request that Council engage in a more informative manner with residents and land owners in the Tokaanu Basin.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
OS15.15	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought That development induced incursions and the failure to implement effective mitigation on any of these incursions, be urgently addressed as the future projected likelihood is that Ngati Kurauia may be displaced from their ancestral lands and taonga.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.31	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.15 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.16	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought That Council urgently address this issue ("the effects of flooding on waahi tapu, historical occupation, geothermal taonga and fertile soils used for extensive gardening, have never been addressed following serious flooding incidents") and provide an urgent, fair and equitable solution. Ngati Kurauia request that Council seriously consider the following: a) That the owners of Maori lands that are utilized to provide a public good or service to the community or nation (such as flood mitigation, prevention, risk reduction) be rewarded by a form of dispensation and/or reward that fairly and equitably recognizes the contribution of the land and/or its loss of productive capacity or opportunity cost. b) That the cultural, spiritual, environmental and social values of the land impacted by the risk and hazard associated with flooding be seriously and transparently considered by the Council through direct engagement with Ngati Kurauia.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.32	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.16 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.17	Ngati Kurauia	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Request that an informative and constructive process of consultation be convened directly with Council to determine the "Degree of impact on, or interest from iwi/Maori". Ngati Kurauia propose that the agenda for this engagement include: a) The mutual establishment of a clear understanding of flood hazard risks and impacts on Ngati Kurauia and their values and taonga.	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.33	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.17 Decision Sought Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS15.22	Ngati Kurauia	General	Oppose	Decision Sought Council to consider our circumstances and the risks that confront us in addressing these flood hazards. We request that Council to engage with us to find viable solutions and mitigate the risks not only to our future buildings but more importantly to the mauri (life essence) of Ngati Kurauia. For this reason we request that Council assess and evaluate these matters seriously in its consideration of the "effects of natural hazards on people, property and the environment" and in the considerations for achieving the goals of "increasing community resilience to hazard risks, reducing natural hazard risks to acceptable or tolerable levels and ensuring that there is effective and efficient response and recovery from a natural hazard event".	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
FS25.34	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 15.22 Decision Sought : Disallow	04 Consultation/iwi & hapu values
OS18.11	Mercury	Objective 3l.2.4	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend Objective 3l.2.4 to read: Buildings and infrastructure are located and designed to ensure continued operation and to avoid structural damage to themselves and other infrastructure and property during a flood event with an annual exceedance probability of 1% or less.	05 Infrastructure
FS24.3	Trustpower Limited	Objective 3l.2.4	Support	OP 18.11 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
FS26.5	Transpower	Objective 3l.2.4	Support	OP 18.11 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS17.15	Trustpower Ltd	Policy 3l.2.3 ii	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.3(ii) as notified	05 Infrastructure
FS26.3	Transpower	Policy 3l.2.3 ii	Support	OP 17.15 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS17.8	Trustpower Ltd	Policy 3l.2.3 iii	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend Policy 3l.2.3 (iii) so it does not apply to buildings associated with hydro electricity generation activities as follows: <i>iii. Control the design of new buildings and minor additions, that are not for hydro electricity generation activities, in low and</i>	05 Infrastructure
OS17.9	Trustpower Ltd	Policy 3l.2.3 iv	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend Policy 3l.2.3 (iv) so they do not apply to buildings associated with hydro electricity generation activities as follows: <i>iv. Control the design of minor additions to existing buildings, that are not for hydro electricity generation activities, in high flood hazard areas. This acknowledges the existing investment on the site but recognises the overriding need to keep people safe.</i>	05 Infrastructure

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
OS17.10	Trustpower Ltd	Policy 3l.2.3 v	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend Policy 3l.2.3 (v) so it does do not apply to buildings associated with hydro electricity generation activities as follows: <i>v. Control the design of major additions to existing buildings, that are not for hydro electricity generation activities, in low and medium flood hazard areas. This acknowledges the existing investment on the site but recognises the overriding need to keep people safe.</i>	05 Infrastructure
OS17.16	Trustpower Ltd	Policy 3l.2.4 i	Support	Decision Sought Retain Policy 3l.2.4(i) as notified	05 Infrastructure
OS17.17	Trustpower Ltd	Policy 3l.2.4 ii	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend Policy 3l.2.4(ii) so these provisions do not apply to buildings associated with hydro electricity generation activities.	05 Infrastructure
OS18.13	Mercury	Policy 3l.2.4 ii	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend Policy 3l.2.4 (ii) to read: Control the location and design of new buildings and major additions to existing buildings in low and medium flood hazard areas to avoid structural damage to themselves and other infrastructure and property during significant flood events a flood event with an annual exceedance probability of 1% or less.	05 Infrastructure
OS17.12	Trustpower Ltd	Policy 3l.2.4 iv	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend Policy 3l.2.4(iv), as follows (or relief to like effect to give effect to the submission point): <i>(iv) Provide for, infrastructure (and buildings that enclose that infrastructure), and subdivision for infrastructure that is not vulnerable to flood risk or has a functional requirement to be in a flood hazard area.</i>	05 Infrastructure
FS25.52	Mercury	Policy 3l.2.4 iv	Oppose	OP 17.12 Decision Sought Disallow	05 Infrastructure
OS18.14	Mercury	Policy 3l.2.4 iv	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend Policy 3l.2.4 (iv) to read: Provide for, infrastructure (and buildings that enclose that infrastructure), and subdivision for infrastructure that is not vulnerable to flood risk will not be functionally compromised or result in adverse effects on other infrastructure (and buildings that enclose that infrastructure) as a result of flooding or has a functional requirement to be in a flood hazard	05 Infrastructure
FS24.4	Trustpower Limited	Policy 3l.2.4 iv	Support	OP 18.14 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
FS26.6	Transpower	Policy 3l.2.4 iv	Support	OP 18.14 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS17.13	Trustpower Ltd	Policy 3l.2.4 v	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend Policy 3l.2.4(v), as follows (or relief to like effect to give effect to the submission point): <i>(v) Avoid infrastructure (and buildings that enclose that infrastructure), and subdivision for infrastructure , that is <u>not provided for in (iv) vulnerable to flood risk in a flood hazard area.</u></i>	05 Infrastructure
FS25.53	Mercury	Policy 3l.2.4 v	Oppose	OP 17.13 Decision Sought Disallow	05 Infrastructure
OS18.15	Mercury	Policy 3l.2.4 v	Oppose	Decision Sought Delete Policy 3l.2.4 (v) by addressing the subject matter in Policy 3l.2.4 (iv): Avoid infrastructure (and buildings that enclose that infrastructure), and subdivision for infrastructure, that is vulnerable to flood risk in a flood hazard area.	05 Infrastructure
FS24.5	Trustpower Limited	Policy 3l.2.4 v	Support	OP 18.15 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
FS26.7	Transpower	Policy 3l.2.4 v	Support	OP 18.15 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS17.2	Trustpower Ltd	4e District Wide Rules	Support	Decision Sought Retain the District Wide Rules in 4e.9 but include the following new definition of “hydro electricity generation activities” so it is clear what activities are covered by Rule 4e.9.16 (or relief to like effect to give effect to the submission point). <i>Hydro electricity generation activities means the construction, operation, maintenance and upgrade of structures associated with hydro electricity generation.</i>	05 Infrastructure
FS25.48	Mercury	4e District Wide Rules	Oppose	OP 17.2 Decision Sought Disallow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.12	Transpower	4e District Wide Rules	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Add following text beneath heading “4e.9 Flood Hazard Area”: “EXEMPTION: Rules 4e.9.1-4e.9.8 do not apply to infrastructure.”	05 Infrastructure
FS25.76	Mercury	4e District Wide Rules	Support	OP 22.12 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.4	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.1	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Delete the following text from rule 4e.9.1: “(excluding those associated with infrastructure)”	05 Infrastructure
FS25.68	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.1	Support	OP 22.4 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.5	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.2	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Delete the following text from rule 4e.9.2: “(excluding those associated with infrastructure)”	05 Infrastructure
FS25.69	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.2	Support	OP 22.5 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.6	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.3	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Delete the following text from rule 4e.9.3: “(excluding those associated with infrastructure)”	05 Infrastructure
FS25.70	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.3	Support	OP 22.6 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.7	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.4	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Delete the following text from rule 4e.9.4: “(excluding those associated with infrastructure)”	05 Infrastructure

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
FS25.71	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.4	Support	OP 22.7 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.8	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.5	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Delete the following text from rule 4e.9.5: “(excluding those associated with infrastructure)”	05 Infrastructure
FS25.72	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.5	Support	OP 22.8 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS17.14	Trustpower Ltd	Rule 4e.9.6	Support	Decision Sought Retain Rule 4e.9.6	05 Infrastructure
OS22.9	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.6	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Delete the following text from rule 4e.9.6: “(excluding those associated with infrastructure)”	05 Infrastructure
FS25.73	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.6	Support	OP 22.9 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.10	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.7	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Delete the following text from rule 4e.9.7: “(excluding those associated with infrastructure)”	05 Infrastructure
FS25.74	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.7	Support	OP 22.10 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.11	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.8	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Delete the following text from rule 4e.9.8: “(excluding those associated with infrastructure)”	05 Infrastructure
FS25.75	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.8	Support	OP 22.11 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.13	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.13	Support	Decision Sought Retain rule 4e.9.13	05 Infrastructure
OS22.14	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.14	Support	Decision Sought Retain rule 4e.9.14	05 Infrastructure
OS18.8	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.15	Support	Decision Sought Retain Rule 4e.9.15 in the same or similar form.	05 Infrastructure
FS24.18	Trustpower Limited	Rule 4e.9.15	Support	OP 18.8 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.15	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.15	Support	Decision Sought Retain rule 4e.9.15	05 Infrastructure
OS2.1	Unison Networks Limited	Rule 4e.9.16	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend rule 4e.9.16 to include the following <u>underlined additions</u> : '4e.9.16 Any <u>operation</u> , maintenance, <u>replacement</u> or upgrading of existing, or construction of new:'	05 Infrastructure
FS24.7	Trustpower Limited	Rule 4e.9.16	Support	OP 2.1 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
FS25.1	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.16	Support	OP 2.1 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS7.1	Kinloch Marina Ltd	Rule 4e.9.16	Support	Decision Sought Retain rule 4e.9.16	05 Infrastructure
OS14.1	Spark Trading New Zealand Limited	Rule 4e.9.16	Support	Decision Sought Retain rule 4e.9.16	05 Infrastructure
OS14.4	Spark Trading New Zealand Limited	Rule 4e.9.16	Support	Decision Sought The statement regarding the NESTF 2016, before rule 4e.9.16, be amended to make it clear that it only applies to facility operators as defined in the NESTF 2016.	05 Infrastructure
OS22.2	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.16	Support	Decision Sought Retain the note under rule 4e.9.16 that states “For rule 4e.9.16 electricity transmission activities mean part of the national grid of transmission lines and cables (aerial, underground and undersea, including the high-voltage direct current link), stations and sub-stations and other works used to connect grid injection points and grid exit points to convey electricity throughout the North and South Islands of New Zealand.”	05 Infrastructure
FS24.6	Trustpower Limited	Rule 4e.9.16	Support	OP 22.2 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.16	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.16	Support	Decision Sought Retain rule 4e.9.16	05 Infrastructure
OS2.2	Unison Networks Limited	Rule 4e.9.17	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend rule 4e.9.17 to include the following <u>underlined additions</u> : 4e.9.17 Any <u>operation</u> , maintenance, <u>replacement</u> , or minor upgrading of existing above ground infrastructure (and buildings that enclose them), in any flood hazard area is a permitted activity .	05 Infrastructure
FS25.2	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.17	Support	OP 2.2 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
FS26.1	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.17	Support	OP 2.2 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS2.3	Unison Networks Limited	Rule 4e.9.17	Oppose	Decision Sought That the definition of 'minor upgrading' for rule 4e.9.17 be replaced with the definition that is currently used in rule 4e.14.4 (District Wide Rules for Network Utilities).	05 Infrastructure
FS25.3	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.17	Oppose	OP 2.3 Decision Sought Disallow	05 Infrastructure
FS26.2	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.17	Support	OP 2.3 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS14.2	Spark Trading New Zealand Limited	Rule 4e.9.17	Support	Decision Sought Retain rule 4e.9.17	05 Infrastructure
OS18.9	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.17	Support	Decision Sought Retain Rule 4e.9.17 in the same or similar form.	05 Infrastructure
FS24.19	Trustpower Limited	Rule 4e.9.17	Support	OP 18.9 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS14.3	Spark Trading New Zealand Limited	Rule 4e.9.18	Support	Decision Sought Retain rule 4e.9.18 including the restricted discretionary status and matters of discretion.	05 Infrastructure
OS18.2	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.18	Support	Decision Sought Retain Rule 4e.9.18 in the same or similar form.	05 Infrastructure
FS24.16	Trustpower Limited	Rule 4e.9.18	Support	OP 18.2 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
OS22.3	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.18	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend rule 4e.9.18 as follows: Any new above ground infrastructure (and buildings that enclose them), in any flood hazard area, that does is not permitted by comply with rule 4e.9.16 is a restricted discretionary activity with the matters of discretion restricted to:...	05 Infrastructure
OS17.6	Trustpower Ltd	General	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought That PC34 be made operative but amended with either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •A specific exemption which states its provisions do not apply to <i>hydro electricity generation activities</i> , and inclusion of the following new definition. <i>Hydro electricity generation activities means the construction, operation, maintenance and upgrade of structures associated with hydro electricity generation</i> OR •The amendments requested in the submission 	05 Infrastructure
FS25.49	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 17.6 Decision Sought Disallow	05 Infrastructure
OS18.7	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.16	Support	Decision Sought Retain Rule 4e.9.16 in the same or similar form.	05 Infrastructure
FS24.17	Trustpower Limited	Rule 4e.9.16	Support	OP 18.7 Decision Sought Allow	05 Infrastructure
OS22.1	Transpower	Rule 4e.9.16	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Delete the statement “The provisions of the National Environmental Standards for Telecommunication Facilities prevail over the following Infrastructure rules” before rule 4e.9.16 and replace it with a clearer statement of intent for the purpose of these rules.	05 Infrastructure
OS13.2	Grants Motels Ltd	General	Oppose	Decision Sought More emphasis should be given to the provision of stop banks or water diversion schemes to prevent the spread of water to low and medium hazard areas in such an event. Also allowing water to divert to its lowest point and not be prevented from doing so by roads that are built higher than the ground and act as dams.	06 Physical protection
FS25.21	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 13.2 Decision Sought Disallow	06 Physical protection
OS16.3	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Placing of a small stopbank in this area (near the Flight property in the Hirangi Road area) is the most urgently needed action of both Council’s and no amount of District Plan changes will protect anything while this remains neglected.	06 Physical protection
OS16.6	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought TDC surpluses could easily be used complete the needed and some additional stopbank works and protect the property interests of the property owners in Turangi. This would be much more preferable than this bureaucratic planning nonsense we are being subjected to in Planni Change 34.	06 Physical protection
FS25.43	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 16.6 Decision Sought Disallow	06 Physical protection
OS16.8	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Periodic removal of gravels and sediments may need consideration to ensure the bed of the river does not aggrade.	06 Physical protection
OS16.9	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Complete the stopbank on Hirangi Road by closing the low spot.	06 Physical protection
OS16.12	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought The real need is physical works such as stop-banking along the Kohineheke-Crescent Reserve, Turangi. No planning encumbrances should be placed on our properties.	06 Physical protection
FS25.44	Mercury	General	Oppose	OP 16.12 Decision Sought Disallow	06 Physical protection
OS16.4	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought WRC and TDC must increase its local flood response procedures so that stormwater outfall flap valves are accessible, regularly maintained, and checked they can close when high rainfall events warnings are received.	06 Physical protection
OS10.2	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.1	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are manged.	07 Activities/buildings
FS25.6	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.1	Support	OP 10.2 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.3	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.2	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are manged.	07 Activities/buildings
FS25.7	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.2	Support	OP 10.3 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.4	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.3	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are manged.	07 Activities/buildings
FS25.8	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.3	Support	OP 10.4 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.5	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.4	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are manged.	07 Activities/buildings
FS25.9	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.4	Support	OP 10.5 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.6	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.5	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are manged.	07 Activities/buildings
FS25.10	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.5	Support	OP 10.6 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.7	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.6	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are manged.	07 Activities/buildings

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
FS25.11	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.6	Support	OP 10.7 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.8	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.7	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are managed.	07 Activities/buildings
FS25.12	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.7	Support	OP 10.8 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.9	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.8	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are managed.	07 Activities/buildings
FS25.13	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.8	Support	OP 10.9 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.10	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.9	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are managed.	07 Activities/buildings
FS25.14	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.9	Support	OP 10.10 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.11	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.10	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are managed.	07 Activities/buildings
FS25.15	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.10	Support	OP 10.11 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.12	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.11	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are managed.	07 Activities/buildings
FS25.16	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.11	Support	OP 10.12 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.13	Waikato Regional Council	Rule 4e.9.12	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to clarify how activities and buildings are managed.	07 Activities/buildings
FS25.17	Mercury	Rule 4e.9.12	Support	OP 10.13 Decision Sought Allow	07 Activities/buildings
OS10.14	Waikato Regional Council	Existing Rule 4e.9.1	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend PPC34 to ensure that hazardous substances/ hazardous facilities are appropriately located, designed and managed in relation to flood hazard areas.	08 Hazardous substances
FS25.18	Mercury	Existing Rule 4e.9.1	Support	OP 10.14 Decision Sought Allow	08 Hazardous substances
OS19.10	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.3 ii	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend Policy ii by adding the text underlined as set out below: POLICIES ii. Avoid locating new buildings (excluding those associated with infrastructure <u>and uninhabited farm buildings</u>) and major additions to existing building (excluding those associated with infrastructure <u>and uninhabited farm buildings</u>) in high flood hazard areas due to the risk to people's lives from flood waters and building debris.	09 Uninhabited farm buildings
FS24.13	Trustpower Limited	Policy 3l.2.3 ii	Neutral	OP 19.10 Decision Sought Allow	09 Uninhabited farm buildings
OS19.20	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Policy 3l.2.4 i	Support	Decision Sought Amend Policies 3l.2.4 i by adding the text underlined as follows: i. Avoid locating new buildings (excluding those associated with infrastructure <u>and uninhabited farm buildings</u>) and major additions to existing buildings (excluding those associated with infrastructure <u>and uninhabited farm buildings</u>) in high flood hazard areas given the likelihood of structural damage.	09 Uninhabited farm buildings
FS24.12	Trustpower Limited	Policy 3l.2.4 i	Neutral	OP 19.20 Decision Sought Allow	09 Uninhabited farm buildings
OS19.25	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Rule 4e.9.1	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend rule for new buildings, 4e.9.1, by adding the text underlined as follows: New Buildings 4e.9.1 Any new building (excluding those associated with infrastructure <u>and uninhabited farm buildings</u>) in a low or medium flood hazard area is a permitted activity provided the floor level is 300mm above the identified maximum flood level.	09 Uninhabited farm buildings
OS19.26	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Rule 4e.9.2	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend District wide rule for new buildings, 4e.9.2 by adding the text underlined as follows: New Buildings 4e.9.2 Any new building (excluding those associated with infrastructure <u>and uninhabited farm buildings</u>) in a low or medium flood hazard area which does not comply with the standard in rule 4e.9.1 is a restricted discretionary activity , with Council's discretion being restricted to: a. The degree to which building, structural or design work to be undertaken can avoid the effects of the flood hazard. b. The nature of the activity, its intended uses including whether the use is temporary or permanent and the degree to which people are put at risk as a result of the activity.	09 Uninhabited farm buildings

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
OS19.27	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Rule 4e.9.3	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend District wide rules for new buildings, 4e.9.3 by adding the text underlined as follows: New Buildings 4e.9.3 Any new building (excluding those associated with infrastructure <u>and uninhabited farm buildings</u>) in a high flood hazard area is a non-complying activity .	09 Uninhabited farm buildings
OS19.28	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Rule 4e.9.4	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend District wide rule for Major Additions, rule 4e.9.4 as follows: Major Additions 4e.9.4 Any major addition to an existing building (excluding those associated with infrastructure <u>and uninhabited farm buildings</u>) in a low or medium flood hazard area is a permitted activity provided the floor level of the addition is 300mm above the identified maximum flood level.	09 Uninhabited farm buildings
OS19.29	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Rule 4e.9.5	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend District wide rule for Major Additions, rule 4e.9.5 as follows: Major Additions 4e.9.5 Any major addition to an existing building (excluding those associated with infrastructure <u>and uninhabited farm buildings</u>) in a low or medium flood hazard area which does not comply with the standard in rule 4e.9.4 is a restricted discretionary activity , with Council's discretion being restricted to: a. The degree to which building, structural or design work to be undertaken can avoid or mitigate the effects of the flood hazard. b. The nature of the activity, its intended uses including whether the use is temporary or permanent and the degree to which	09 Uninhabited farm buildings
OS19.31	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Rule 4e.9.7	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend District wide rule for Minor Additions, rule 4e.9.7 as follows: Minor Additions 4e.9.7 One minor addition to an existing building at the date this rule becomes operative (excluding those associated with infrastructure and <u>uninhabited farm buildings</u>) in a low, medium or high flood hazard area is a permitted activity provided the floor level of the addition is not lower than the existing floor level.	09 Uninhabited farm buildings
OS19.32	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Rule 4e.9.8	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend District wide rule for Minor Additions, rules 4e.9.8 as follows: Minor Additions 4e.9.8 Any minor addition to an existing building (excluding those associated with infrastructure <u>and uninhabited farm buildings</u>) in a low, medium or high flood hazard area which does not comply with the standard in rule 4e.9.7 is a restricted discretionary activity , with Council's discretion being restricted to: a. The degree to which building, structural or design work to be undertaken can avoid or mitigate the effects of the flood hazard. b. The nature of the activity, its intended uses including whether the use is temporary or permanent and the degree to which people are put at risk as a result of the activity.	09 Uninhabited farm buildings
OS19.1	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Section 10 Definitions	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Add a new definition in the 10 Definitions as set out below: Uninhabited farm buildings – means a building or structure which primary purpose is to be used for farming activities or storage of farming equipment, machines, stock or plant and is not used by people to live in nor is it any structure used by people for accommodation, residence, as a dwelling or as an office.	09 Uninhabited farm buildings
OS19.30	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Rule 4e.9.6	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend District wide rule for Major Additions, rules 4e.9.6 as follows: Major Additions 4e.9.6 Any major addition to an existing building (excluding those associated with infrastructure <u>and uninhabited farm buildings</u>) in a high flood hazard area is a non-complying activity.	09 Uninhabited farm buildings

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
OS17.4	Trustpower Ltd	Section 10 Definitions	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Include in Section 10 Definitions new definitions as follows: <u>Infrastructure not vulnerable to flood risk or that has a functional requirement to be in a flood hazard area</u> - includes, but is not limited to: - <u>Below ground infrastructure and buildings that enclose them.</u> - <u>Stormwater infrastructure and buildings that enclose them.</u> - <u>Roads.</u> - <u>Marina facilities and buildings that enclose them.</u> - <u>Hydro electricity generation activities and buildings that enclose them.</u> - <u>Electricity transmission activities.</u> <u>Hydro electricity generation activities means the construction, operation, maintenance and upgrade of structures associated with hydro electricity generation.</u> Or any similar amendment with like effect in order to give effect to the submission by Trustpower.	10 Definitions
FS25.50	Mercury	Section 10 Definitions	Oppose	OP 17.4 Decision Sought Disallow	10 Definitions
FS26.8	Transpower	Section 10 Definitions	Oppose	OP 17.4 Decision Sought Disallow	10 Definitions
OS18.3	Mercury	Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP)	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend in Section 10 Definitions the definition for 'Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP)' to read: <i>Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) - means the estimated probability of a certain design flood flow being equalled or exceeded an event occurring in any one year -A - for example, a 1% AEP means an event that has an estimated probability of occurrence of 1 per cent design flood flow has a 1% or 1 in 100 chance of being equalled or exceeded in any one year.</i>	10 Definitions
FS26.4	Transpower	Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP)	Support	OP 18.3 Decision Sought Allow	10 Definitions
OS9.1	Hapeta, Leonie	Flood Hazard Map	Not Stated	Decision Sought The Taupo District Council should where possible protect the tennis courts on Parehopu Street, Kuratau as it is a community asset.	11 Community/property value
OS16.1	Campbell, John & Bev	General	Not Stated	Decision Sought Any planning policy should recognise these issues (decline in population, loss of social diversity and devaluing residential capital values) and avoid any action that may exacerbate further devaluation of property values than is absolutely necessary and a last resort.	11 Community/property value
OS17.1	Trustpower Ltd	3l.1 Introduction ii Flooding	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought Amend 3l.1(ii) as follows (or words to like effect to give effect to the submission point): <i>...Other waterbodies in the district can flood but have not been included in flood modelling and / or <u>identified in the planning maps as flood hazard areas</u> as they:</i> •Are spring fed so not as susceptible to flood flows, or •Have a small catchment area, or •Are located in areas where there are relatively few people, limited property at risk and outside future growth areas.... Or any similar amendment with like effect in order to give effect to the submission by Trustpower.	12 Minor changes
OS18.6	Mercury	3l Methods	Oppose	Decision Sought Include a new method under 3l.3: <u>Council will update the flood hazard areas shown on the planning maps and corresponding policies and rules once it has a detailed understanding of the effects of wave activity on erosion and inundation of the foreshore and backshore of Taupo-nui-a-Tia. Until such time as this update process is complete, Council will engage with its communities located around the lake shoreline regarding the potential for wave activity to exacerbate erosion as well as the extent of the flood hazard areas shown on the planning maps. This engagement could include, but not be limited to, including information in Land Information Memoranda and having dedicated space on the Council's website regarding lake shoreline hazards.</u>	13 Extreme wave activity
OS18.10	Mercury	Section 4e.2	Oppose	Decision Sought Amended Rule 4e.2.1 to read: Any building on or above ground or subdivision within a Foreshore Protection Area (excluding subdivision along the Waikato River downstream of Taupo Control Gates) is a discretionary activity. ...ASSESSMENT CRITERIA ... d. The potential for flood inundation or erosion from the District's waterways and Lakes, including wave activity on Lake Taupo. Note to Rule 4e.2.1: all subdivision activity within the Foreshore Protection Area (other than subdivision along the Waikato River downstream of Taupo Control Gates) shall be a discretionary activity and where there is a conflict between Rule 4e.2.1 and other subdivision related rules in the District Plan then Rule 4e.2.1 shall prevail.	13 Extreme wave activity

Submission Point Number	Submitter	Plan Section	Support/Oppose	Decision/Reason	Category in report
OS18.4	Mercury	Section 4e.9	Oppose	Decision Sought Amend the Note for new subdivision rules 4e.9.13 to 4e.9.15 as follows: Note: refer to the underlying environment rules for subdivision in low and medium flood hazard areas. <u>Refer to Rule 4e.2.1 for subdivision within a Foreshore Protection Area (other than subdivision along the Waikato River downstream of Taupo Control Gates).</u>	13 Extreme wave activity
OS15.12	Ngati Kurauia	General	Oppose	Decision Sought That Council carry out precise wave modelling to determine the actual dynamics and impact of wave activity. We request that work on the monitoring and modelling be carried out in the Tokaanu/Waihi Bay and Tongariro Delta areas.	13 Extreme wave activity
FS25.36	Mercury	General	Support	OP 15.12 Decision Sought Allow	13 Extreme wave activity
OS21.1	Lakes and Waterways Action Group	General	Seek Amendments	Decision Sought That Plan Change 34 clearly reference District Plan provisions on building or renovating within the 20 metre buffer zone.	13 Extreme wave activity
OS21.2	Lakes and Waterways Action Group	General	Support	Decision Sought That further modelling of wave effects, including erosion and inundation, be carried out to better understand the risks, inclusive of the effects of climate change predictions	13 Extreme wave activity
FS25.63	Mercury	General	Support	OP 21.2 Decision Sought Allow	13 Extreme wave activity
OS21.4	Lakes and Waterways Action Group	General	Support	Decision Sought Retain the prioritisation of the wave activity hazard and associated funding for modelling work being addressed through the Long Term Plan.	13 Extreme wave activity
FS25.64	Mercury	General	Support	OP 21.4 Decision Sought Allow	13 Extreme wave activity
OS18.5	Mercury	3l.2 Objectives and Policies	Oppose	Decision Sought Include new policies under Chapter 3l Natural Hazards as follows: <u>To recognise and manage the potential risk of wave activity to subdivision and buildings around the shoreline of Lake Taupo, particularly for properties located within the Foreshore Protection Area as measured from the Nui-a-Tia boundary.</u> <u>To recognise that flood and wave activity around the shoreline of Lake Taupo can be caused by different processes, but their combination can exacerbate the consequence of inundation and erosion around the shoreline of Lake Taupo.</u>	13 Extreme wave activity