

Summary of Further Submissions - PC41

No.	Name	Organisation	On Behalf Of	Point No.	FS Point No.	Original	Support/ Oppose	Decision Sought	Reason for Decision Sought
209	James Ryan		Manawa Energy Limited	93.22	FS209.153	Support	Support	Allow	Manawa Energy supports this submission
211	Hayley Stronge		Mercury NZ Limited	16.1	FS211.14	Oppose	Oppose	Disallow	Mercury supports the Council approach of relying on the Building Act as the primary mechanism for ensuring that the risks posed to buildings from potential fault lines are mitigated. Mercury opposes the re-introduction of the discretionary activity rule (4e.10) without having the opportunity to review the fault line overlay on the planning maps from which the 20m setback would be measured.
211	Hayley Stronge		Mercury NZ Limited	16.2	FS211.15	Oppose	Oppose	Disallow	Mercury supports the Council removing the "out of date" fault lines shown on the operative District Plan planning maps. While Mercury is supportive of more accurate fault line information being made available, if this is to be the basis of regulation such as a setback rule in the District Plan, this should be subject to a public process.
211	Hayley Stronge		Mercury NZ Limited	93.22	FS211.16	Support	Support	Allow	Mercury supports the Council approach of relying on the Building Act as the primary mechanism for ensuring that the risks posed to buildings from potential fault lines are mitigated. Mercury agrees with Contact Energy relief that PC41 be adopted as notified.
212	Megan Kettle	GMD Consultants	Waikato Regional Council	16.2	FS212.2	Oppose	Support	Allow	Support in part. WRC agrees with the submitter that there must be provisions in the plan managing fault lines and that applicants should rely on more updated information such as the GNS reports. However, we consider that retaining the current information or updating the district plan with the more accurate mapping is not the best approach. We consider that there should be regulations in the proposed plan managing fault lines and that in terms of mapped fault lines, applicants should rely on the most updated information provided by GNS. To this effect, we consider it more efficient to direct applicants to the most updated GNS report or on-site investigation instead of having a rigid overlay in the district plan. This will ensure that applicant will always have access to the most updated information. District plans have a 10-year lifespan and there is a risk the fault lines information will become redundant and then conflict with more updated information.
220	Joanne Cook Munro	Federated Farmers of New Zealand		16.1	FS220.16	Oppose	Support	Allow	The inclusion of fault lines in the District Plan provides a level of certainty to landowners and potential landowners.
220	Joanne Cook Munro	Federated Farmers of New Zealand		16.2	FS220.17	Oppose	Support	Allow	The inclusion of fault lines in the District Plan provides a level of certainty to landowners and potential landowners.
220	Joanne Cook Munro	Federated Farmers of New Zealand		79.7	FS220.18	Seek amendment	Support	Allow	The inclusion of fault lines in the District Plan provides a level of certainty to landowners and potential landowners