

Resource Consent Submission Form

Reference Number: REF240402527

Submitted On: 02/04/2024 04:38 p.m.

NOTES TO SUBMITTER:

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as is reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious;
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- it contains offensive language;
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

All submissions (including name and contact details) are published and made available to the public from our offices and on our website. Personal information will also be used for the administration of the notified resource consent process. All information collected will be held by Taupō District Council, 46 Horomatangi Street, Taupō, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

Resource Consent Applicant Details

Taupō District Council reference number(s):	RM230338 339
Applicant name	F bailey
Application for:	Change or cancellation of a condition of a resource consent

Submitter Details

Full name of submitter:	Foye bailey
Contact name:	
Designation:	
Contact phone number:	0225014916
Email address:	Foyeb1@gmail.com

Postal address:: 4 Ribbonwood Lane, RD 1, Taupo 3377

Are you a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991? No, I am not

Do you wish to receive any further correspondence prior to the decision being issued? No

Privacy Statement:

Submitters are advised that the information supplied in written submissions may contain personal information within the meaning of the Privacy Act 1993. By taking part in this public submission process, submitters have agreed to any personal information (including names and contact details) which is contained in their submission being made available to the public as part of the consultation and decision making process. All information collected will be held by Taupō District Council. Submitters have the right to access and correct personal information. Following the submission period, copies of all submissions will be available on our website.

Submission

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: The size of the sections

My submission is: In opposition of the application or specific parts of it

Please detail the reasons for making your submission: Seven oaks development have applied for small sections that go against the council size regulations and against many of the wishes of locals. The council has these sizes for a reason and should not be reduced because the developer thinks they can squeeze a few more in.

Whilst we cannot stop developments destroying the very village nature of kinloch, as it seems that is inevitable as consents keep getting granted, I believe the council should actually uphold what it put in place!

Small sections, marketed as holiday batch sections, are actually a hazard due to the nature of activities held there. 400sqm is not large enough to have a house, multiple cars, boats and caravans all squeezed on there in peak season. There could easily be an accident due to everything on the road and what if the fire engine cannot get through to the end in the even tof an emergency. For an example of the overcrowding I suggest you visit the extra small sections that were allowed to go through on the east side of the village up the hill, during peak holiday time! It is only a matter of time before someone is injured as you cannot see children or upcoming traffic .

Please do the right thing and enforce your own rules rather than just taking the developers money and hiding! It's only 6 or so sections which is nothing compared to someone's life!

Alternatively, please upload document detailing the reasons for making your submission:

I seek the following decision from the Taupō District Council:

Enforce minimum section size of 800sqm as per your own legislation!

Do you wish to attend the hearing?

No

Delegation of functions, powers and duties:

I do not request, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.